



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/920,813 | 08/03/2001 | Morris Peng | LIE 149 | 7159 |

7590 11/14/2005
RABIN & CHAMPAGNE, P.C.
1101 14th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005

EXAMINER

KNOWLIN, THJUAN P

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
|----------|--------------|

2642

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 09/920,813 | Applicant(s) PENG, MORRIS | |
| | Examiner Thjuan P. Knowlin | Art Unit 2642 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Thjuan P. Knowlin.

(3) Steven Rabin.

(2) Ahmad Matar.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Braud (US 6,373,942).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Attachment.


(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

1. The after final amendment filed on October 12, 2005 would overcome the prior art of record. During a telephone interview, Examiner and applicant's representative discussed the art rejection, the invention and the claims and had agreed that the limitations (which are now introduced in the after final amendment) would overcome the prior art rejection in the Final office action.
2. However, the new limitation requires further search and will not be entered in accordance with the after final procedures.


AHMAD MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600